Detecting Kissing Scenes in a Database of Hollywood Films

Problem

Detecting scene types in a movie can be very useful for application such as video editing, ratings assignment, and personalization. We propose a system for **detecting kissing** scenes in a movie. This system consists of two components. The first component is a **binary classifier** that predicts a binary label (i.e. kissing or not) given features exctracted from both the still frames and audio waves of a one-second segment. The second component aggregates the binary labels for contiguous non-overlapping segments into a set of kissing scenes. We experimented with a variety of 2D and 3D **convolutional** architectures such as ResNet, DesnseNet, and VGGish[1], and developed a highly accurate kissing detector that achieves a validation F1 score of 0.95 on a diverse database of Hollywood films ranging many genres and spanning multiple decades.



Dataset

We have annotated kissing segments from a 2.3TB database of **600** Hollywood films spanning 1915 to 2016 and covering a broad range of genres and resolutions. We have produced a total of 263 kissing segments and 363 non-kissing segments ranging 10 to 120 seconds in length. The dataset is split into train, validation, and test partitions with 80%, 10% and 10% proportions respectively.



Approach

Binary classifier

We extract a random crop of the last still and audio wave from each 1s segment. The audio is transformed into a 96x64 patch by applying a Fourier transform and integrating into mel-spaced frequency bins. Image is fed to ResNet-18 and the audio to VGGish. Outputs are concatenated and passed to a linear layer for generating labels.



Segmentor

The predicted labels for one-second segments are that finds into algorithm long fed an non-overlapping kissing segments that meet a minimum fraction of kissing segments.



Training and error analysis

We trained the network for 10 epochs with were used from **ImageNet** and **AudioSet**. train-time has caused some confusion though.



Amir Ziai (amirziai@stanford.edu)

cross-entropy loss using Adam with η =0.001 and achieved 0.95 validation F1. Pre-trained weights **Saliency maps** suggest that the model has learnt to pay attention to faces. Random cropping at

Experiments

Ablation study

We experimented with excluding the audio and image feature extractor to understand their contribution.

Trained params	VGGish included	ResNet-18 included	Validation F1
Last layer only	Yes	Yes	0.92
Last layer only	No	Yes	0.92
Last layer only	Yes	No	0.87
All	Yes	Yes	0.95
All	No	Yes	0.91
All	Yes	No	0.87

ResNet-18 plays a more important role but both extractors are important.

Architecture and hyper-parameter search

We swept over {ResNet-18, DenseNet} architectures, learning rates {5e-4, 1e-3, 1e-2} and training either only the last layer (freezing the rest) or all parameters.

Architecture	Trained params	Learning rate	Validation F1
ResNet-18	All	0.001	0.95
DenseNet	All	0.001	0.88

The best configuration was using learning rate of 0.001 and training all parameters for both architectures. ResNet-18 performs substantially better.

3D ResNet-34

We tried a 3D ResNet-34[2] with the same configurations as before and a 16-frame temporal depth. Training time per epoch increased by 10x. We achieved F1 of **0.88**.

Conclusions and future work

Our system can accurately detect kissing scenes in a wide range of movies. This methodology can be extended to detecting other types of scenes by annotating other scene types in the same database. We plan to explore training 3D CNNs over more iterations and with a higher temporal depth.

References

[1] Hershey et al. CNN architectures for large-scale audio classification. IEEE international conference on acoustics, speech and signal processing, 2017 [2] Carreira et al. Quo vadis, action recognition? a new model and the kinetics dataset. IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2017



